



-United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,147	01/25/2001	Spencer A. Rathus	660-029	8430
7590 10/06/2003			EXAMINER	
Ward & Olivo			LE, THIEN MINH	
382 Springfield	Avenue			
Summit, NJ 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2876	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			um_				
•	Application No.	Applica	nt(s)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	09/769,147	RATHUS	SET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thien M. Le	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	<i>June 2003</i> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fir	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>168-284</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WII IIOIII COIISIGEIZ	don.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>168,279 and 282</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>169-278,280,281,283 and 284</u> is/are	objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	•	nent.					
Application Papers	,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Informal Patent Appli Other:					

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on 6/25/2003 has been entered. Claims 168-284 remain for examination.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 168, 279 and 282 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,932,863 (herein referred to as the '863 patent).

Similar to claims 168, 279, and 282 of the instant application, claim 1 of the '863 patent recites:

- 1. A system for displaying programming to a user, the system comprising:
- a printed matter having at least one machine recognizable feature;
- a feature recognition unit having associated therewith a means for recognizing said feature and a transmitter for transmitting a coded signal in response to the recognition of said feature;

Art Unit: 2876

an intelligent controller having associated therewith a receiver for receiving said coded signal and a means for accessing programming material; and

a display unit for presenting said programming material;

wherein said recognition unit, in response to the recognition of said feature, causes said intelligent controller to access said programming material and said display unit to execute or display said programming material, and

wherein said display unit comprises a personal computer.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they all recited the same limitations; and thus would have been obvious in view of each other since a printed ticked is also a form of a printed matter. As can be seen, the patent protections have been granted in an earlier filed patent application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 169-278, 280-281, and 283-284 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to disclose system for displaying programming material to a user comprising:

a printed ticket;

Art Unit: 2876

a feature recognition device;

an intelligent controller;

a display unit; and having the functions and characteristics as recited in claims 168, 279, 282; and as further modified by dependent claims 169-278, 280-281, and 283-284.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 168-284 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien M. Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-3500. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-5841 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2876

Le, Thien Minh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 September 21, 2003